

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

LISA STREETE AND JON STREETE, individually and on behalf of their minor child, J. S.	* *	Civil Action No. 19-1088
VERSUS	*	Judge:
BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF LOUISIANA	*	
	*	Magistrate:
* * * * *		

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

NOW INTO COURT, through undersigned counsel, comes Defendant Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company, d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana, (“BCBSLA”) and files this Notice of Removal of this case from the Tenth Judicial District Court, Parish of Natchitoches, State of Louisiana, in which it is now pending, to the United States District Court, Western District of Louisiana. In support of this Notice of Removal, Defendant BCBSLA states:

1.

A Petition (“Petition”) commencing this civil action, entitled “*Lisa C. Streete and Jon Streete, individually and on behalf of their minor child, J.S. v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana*,” was filed on July 16, 2019 in the Tenth Judicial District Court, Parish of Natchitoches, State of Louisiana, bearing Case Number C-91269, Division “B.” Defendant BCBSLA was served with a copy of the Petition on August 7, 2019.

2.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), a copy of all pleadings, processes, and orders that have been served on Defendant are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

3.

This Notice of Removal is filed by Defendant BCBSLA within 30 days of service of the Petition, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

4.

Plaintiff alleges a claim for health benefits under the employee group health benefit plan of Robert L. Salim, APC (“the Plan”) provided to employees of Robert L. Salim, APC and insured through BCBSLA.

5.

The Plan is an employee welfare benefit plan within the meaning of § 3(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1), of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, *et seq.* Under the Fifth Circuit’s jurisprudence, a particular insurance arrangement qualifies as an employee welfare benefit plan under ERISA if: (1) it is a “plan” (*see Meredith v. Time Ins. Co.*, 980 F.2d 352, 355 (5th Cir.1993)) (“A ‘plan’ exists if ‘a reasonable person’ could ascertain the intended benefits, beneficiaries, source of financing, and procedures for receiving benefits.”); (2) it does not fall within the safe-harbor provision established by the Department of Labor (*see* 29 C.F.R. § 2510.3-1(j)); and (3) it was established or maintained by an employer with the intent to benefit employees. *See Lain v. UNUM Life Ins. Co.*, 27 F.Supp.2d 926, 930 (S.D. Tex.1998), *aff’d*, 279 F.3d 337 (5th Cir.2002).

6.

Contrary to Plaintiffs' allegation that the Plan is not an ERISA-qualified policy,¹ The Plan qualifies as an employee welfare benefit plan under ERISA. Upon information and belief, at all pertinent times, a reasonable person could readily determine the intended benefits of the Plan and that the beneficiaries of the Plan were the employees of Robert L. Salim, APC, including Plaintiffs. Further, the Plan does not fall within the "safe harbor" provision established by the Department of Labor; among other reasons, the Plan insures non-family employees and the premiums for the group coverage under the Plan were billed to and paid by the employer, Robert L. Salim, APC. Finally, the Plan was established and maintained by Robert L. Salim, APC for the benefit of its employees.

7.

Plaintiffs' claim for benefits arises under § 502(a)(1)(B) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B), and is completely preempted by ERISA. *See Pilot Life Ins. Co. v. Dedeaux*, 481 U.S. 41, 107 S.Ct. 1549 (1987); *Aetna Health Inc. v. Davila*, 542 U.S. 200, 124 S.Ct. 2488, 159 L.Ed.2d 312 (2004).

8.

Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant BCBSLA are necessarily federal, and therefore removable by Defendant BCBSLA under the "complete preemption" doctrine. *See Pilot Life Ins. Co.*, 481 U.S. at 45, 55-57, 107 S.Ct. at 1552, 1557-58; *Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor*, 481 U.S. 58, 62-67, 107 S.Ct. 1542, 1546-48 (1987); *Aetna Health Inc.*, *supra*.

¹ See Petition, ¶ 6.

9.

The district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction over claims brought under ERISA. *See* ERISA § 502(e), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e), and § 1331.

10.

Because this action arises under the Court's federal question jurisdiction (*see Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., supra*), this action is properly removable from the Tenth Judicial District Court, Parish of Natchitoches, State of Louisiana, to the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441(a) and (c) and 1446.

11.

This notice is executed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11.

12.

The United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana is the federal judicial district embracing the Tenth Judicial District Court, Parish of Natchitoches, where the Petition was originally filed. Venue, therefore, is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

13.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), undersigned counsel certifies that a copy of the Notice of Removal will be served promptly on all parties and will be filed with the Clerk of Court for the Tenth Judicial District Court, Parish of Natchitoches.

14.

Defendant BCBSLA expressly reserves any and all rights with respect to this proceeding including, but not limited to, all objections, defenses, and exceptions.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company, d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana, prays that this action be deemed good and sufficient and proceed in this Court as an action properly removed hereto from the Tenth Judicial District Court, Parish of Natchitoches.

Respectfully submitted:

By: /s/ Richard A. Sherburne, Jr.
Richard A. Sherburne, Jr. (LA Bar No. 02106)
Jessica W. Chapman (LA Bar No. 31097)
5525 Reitz Avenue (70809)
Post Office Box 98029
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70898-9029
Telephone: (225) 298-1666
Facsimile: (225) 297-2760
Richard.Sherburne@bcbsla.com
Jessica.Chapman@bcbsla.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Louisiana Health Service &
Indemnity Company, d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue
Shield of Louisiana*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the above and foregoing **NOTICE OF REMOVAL** has been served on all counsel of record by depositing a copy of same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid and properly addressed, to the last known address as follows:

Lisa Causey Streete
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1901 Texas Street
Natchitoches, Louisiana 71457

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this 19th day of August, 2019.

/s/ Richard A. Sherburne, Jr.
Richard A. Sherburne, Jr.